IDAHO BEHAVIORAL HEALTH PLAN

QUALITY MANAGEMENT AND UTILIZATION MANAGEMENT QUARTERLY REPORT

The Quality Management and Utilization Management (QMUM) Quarterly Report summarizes Optum Idaho's progress in accordance with the contract between the Idaho Department of Health and Welfare (IDHW), Division of Medicaid and Optum. This report highlights progress and efforts made, including: Executive summary of overall progress, performance metrics summary, updates on progress, and member and provider satisfaction results. This QMUM report provides a quarterly view of performance through Quarter 3, 2020.

OPTUM

July – September 2020

Executive Summary of Overall Progress

Optum Idaho monitors performance measures on a continual basis to ensure the needs of Idaho Behavioral Health Plan (IBHP) members and providers are being met. Optum Idaho's comprehensive Quality Assurance and Performance Improvement (QAPI) program encompasses outcomes, quality assessment, quality management, quality assurance, and performance improvement. The QAPI program is governed by the QAPI committee and includes data driven, focused performance improvement activities designed to meet the State of Idaho Department of Health and Welfare (IDHW) and federal requirements. These contractual and regulatory requirements drive Optum Idaho's key measures and outcomes for the IBHP.

Key performance measures have been identified and are tracked on a monthly basis. Each measure has a performance goal based on contractual, regulatory or operational standards. For this reporting period, Optum Idaho met or exceeded performance goals for 29 out of 30 (96.6%) key measures.

Some areas in which Optum Idaho continued to meet and/or exceed performance goals were member satisfaction survey results; customer services call standards; urgent, emergent and non-urgent appointment wait times; geographic availability of providers; critical incident reviews; service authorization requests and claims.

Optum Idaho did not meet the established target for Percent of Member Calls Answered within 30 seconds $(goal \ge 80.0\%)$: During Q3, this measure was 77%. Member calls are answered by the vendor, ProtoCall. They have indicated that they have received higher than expected call volume and higher acuity and distress levels of calls related to response from COVID-19. While this is not a contractual performance measure, Optum Idaho monitors it as an internal performance measure. Trends will continue to be monitored.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary of Overall Progress	1
Performance Metrics Summary	2
Progress in Areas Not Meeting Performance During the Previous Quarter – Q2, 2020	6
Identification of Areas Not Meeting Performance During the Current Quarter – Q3, 2020	6
Member Satisfaction Survey Results	6
Provider Satisfaction Survey Results	8

Performance Metrics Summary

Below is a grid used to track the Quality Performance Measures and Outcomes. It identifies the performance goal for each measure along with quarterly results. Those highlighted in green met or exceeded overall performance goals. Those highlighted in yellow failed to meet the performance goal but were within 5%. Those highlighted in red failed to meet the performance goal by greater than 5%.

Measure		July - September	October -	January - March	April - June	July - September
	Goal	2019	December 2019	2020	2020	2020
Member Satisfaction Survey	Results					
Optum Support for Obtaining						
Referrals or Authorizations	≥85.0%	91%	99%	90%	91%	
Accessibility, Availability, and						
Acceptability of the Clinician Network	≥85.0%	93%	90%	90%	93%	
Experience with Counseling or	>95.00/	0.49/	070/	05%	0.00/	Deced on
Treatment	≥85.0%	94%	97%	95%	98%	Based on Member
						Satisfaction
						Survey
						sampling
						methodology,
						Q2, 2020, is the
						most current
Overall Satisfaction	≥85.0%	90%	96%	85%	95%	data available.
Provider Satisfaction Survey						uutu u fullubioi
Trovider Gausiaction Garvey	Kesuits					
				2019 Results		
Annual Overall Provider Satisfaction	≥85.0%	Survey Compl	eted Annually	76%	Survey Comr	pleted Annually
Accessibility & Availability	_00.070			1070	ourvey com	Sieteu Annuary
Idaho Behavioral Health Plan Mem	horchin					
						Due to claims
						lag, data is reported 1
						quarter in
Membership Numbers	NA	265,210	257,507	308,891	324,989	arrears
Member Services Call Standards	101	200,210	201,001	000,001	024,000	uncuro
Total Number of Calls	NA	1,213	1,293	2,390	1,578	1,471
			,		,	
Percent Answered within 30 seconds	≥80.0%	75%	77%	92%	90%	77%
	≤3.5% internal					
	≤7.0 %					
Abandonment Rate	contractual	3.0%	2.8%	0.8%	0.9%	0.2%
	≤120					
Daily Average Hold Time	Seconds	27	26	11	15	27
Customer Service (Provider Calls)	•					
Total Number of Calls	NA	3,349	2,984	4,521	3,440	3,051
Percent Answered within 30 seconds	≥80.0%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%
	≤3.5% internal					
	≤7.0%					
Abandonment Rate	contractual	0.32%	0.48%	0.55%	0.29%	0.13%
	≤120					
Daily Average Hold Time	Seconds	3	4	3	3	3

Maaaura		July - September	October -	January - March	April - June	July - September
Measure	Goal	2019	December 2019	2020	2020	2020
Urgent and Non-Urgent Access Star						
Urgent Appointment Wait Time						
(hours)	48 hours	21	18	18	15	19
Non-Urgent Appointment Wait Time						
(days)	10 days	4	3	3	4	4
Critical Appointment Wait Time	Within 6					
(hours)	hours	4	4	3	3	3
Geographic Availability of Pro	oviders					
Area 1 - requires one provider within						
30 miles for Ada, Canyon, Twin Falls,						
Nez Perce, Kootenai, Bannock and						
Bonneville counties.	100.0%	99.8%*	99.8%*	99.9%*	99.9%*	99.9%*
Area 2 - requires one provider within	100.070	00.070	00.070	55.576	55.576	33.370
45 miles for the remaining 41						
counties not included in Area 1 (37						
remaining within the state of Idaho						
and 4 neighboring state counties)	100.0%	99.7%*	99.8%*	99.7%*	99.7%*	99.7%*
Member Protections and Safe		33.170	33.07	33.170	33.170	33.170
Notification of Adverse Benefit Dete	erminations					
Number of Adverse Benefit						
Determinations (ABDs)	NA	23	18	23	17	21
Clinical ABDs	NA	11	15	8	7	6
Administrative ABDs	NA	12	3	15	10	15
	100% within					
	14 calendar					
Written Notification	days	96.0%	100%	100%	94.1%**	100%
Member Appeals	1		r	-		-
Number of Appeals	NA	0	2	3	0	0
Non-Urgent Appeals	NA	0	2	2	0	0
	100% within 5					
	Calendar					
Acknowledgement Compliance	Days	NA	100.0%	100.0%	NA	NA
	100% within					
	30 Calendar					
Determination Compliance	Days	NA	100.0%	100.0%	NA	NA
Urgent Appeals	NA	0	0	1	0	0
	100% within					
Determination Compliance	72 Hours	NA	NA	100.0%	NA	NA
Complaint Resolution and Tracking	1					
Total Number of Complaints	NA	16	19	10	10	18
Percent of Complaints Acknowledged	5 business					
within Turnaround time	days	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Number of Quality of Service						
Complaints	NA	14	12	9	7	14
	100% within			-	•	
Percent Quality of Service Resolved	≤10 business					
within Turnaround time	≤10 business days	100%	83%	100%	100%	100%
	udys	100 /0	03 /0	100 /0	100 /0	100 /0
Number of Quality of Care Complaints	NA	2	7	1	3	4
Percent Quality of Care Resolved	≤30 calendar	۷.	1		5	4
within Turnaround time		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100%	100%
	days	100.0 %	100.070	100.0 %	100 /0	100 %

Measure	Goal	July - September 2019	October - December 2019	January - March 2020	April - June 2020	July - September 2020
Critical Incidents						
Number of Critical Incidents Received	NA	10	9	15	13	19
Percent Ad Hoc Reviews Completed						
within 5 business days from						
notification of incident	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Response to Written Inquiries						
Percent Acknowledged ≤2 business						
days	100%	95%	1 00 %	100%	100%	100%
Provider Monitoring and Rela	tions					
Provider Quality Monitoring						
Number of Audits	NA	72	94	182	57	98
Percent of Audits that passed with						
score of ≥85%	NA	81.9%	83.0%	80.2%	84.2%	86.7%
Coordination of Care Between Beh	avioral Health	Provider and Pr	imary Care Prov	ider (PCP)		
Percent PCP is documented in						
member record	NA	98%	95%	99%	98%	97%
Percent documentation in member						
record that communication/						
collaboration occurred between						
behavioral health provider and primary						
care provider	NA	73%	74%	73%	72%	85%
Provider Disputes						
Number of Provider Disputes	NA	23	63	94	162	210
Percent Provider Dispute	100% within					
Determinations made within 30	30 Calendar					
calendar days from request	Days	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average Number of Days to Resolve	Baye	10070	10070			10070
Provider Disputes	≤30 days	10.0	9.0	6.5	7.1	11.6
Utilization Management and (/ /		5.0	0.0		11.0
Service Authorization Requests		lation				
Percentage Determination Completed						
within 14 days	100%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Person-Centered Service Plan	100 /8	100.078	100.076	100.078	100.078	100.076
reison-centered Service Fian		,,				
Number of PCSP Received						
	NA	223	104	102	198	297
	NA ≤5 business	223	104	102	198	297
		223 0.28	104 0.07	102 0.17	198 0.10	0.08
Average Number of Days to Review	≤5 business					
Average Number of Days to Review Field Care Coordination	≤5 business days	0.28	0.07	0.17	0.10	0.08
Average Number of Days to Review Field Care Coordination Total Referrals to FCCs	≤5 business					
Average Number of Days to Review Field Care Coordination Total Referrals to FCCs Average Number of Days Case Open	≤5 business days	0.28	0.07	0.17	0.10	0.08
Average Number of Days to Review Field Care Coordination Total Referrals to FCCs Average Number of Days Case Open to FCC	≤5 business days NA NA	0.28 226 47	0.07 213	0.17 243	0.10 330	0.08
Average Number of Days to Review Field Care Coordination Total Referrals to FCCs Average Number of Days Case Open to FCC Discharge Coordination: Post Disch	≤5 business days NA NA NA	0.28 226 47 Jp	0.07 213 50	0.17 243 37	0.10 330 42	0.08
Average Number of Days to Review Field Care Coordination Total Referrals to FCCs Average Number of Days Case Open to FCC Discharge Coordination: Post Disch Number of Inpatient Discharges	≤5 business days NA NA	0.28 226 47	0.07 213	0.17 243	0.10 330	0.08 519 48
Average Number of Days to Review Field Care Coordination Total Referrals to FCCs Average Number of Days Case Open to FCC Discharge Coordination: Post Disch Number of Inpatient Discharges Percent of Members with Follow-Up	≤5 business days NA NA arge Follow-L NA	0.28 226 47 Jp	0.07 213 50	0.17 243 37	0.10 330 42	0.08 519 48 Data is
Average Number of Days to Review Field Care Coordination Total Referrals to FCCs Average Number of Days Case Open to FCC Discharge Coordination: Post Disch Number of Inpatient Discharges Percent of Members with Follow-Up Appointment or Authorization within 7	≤5 business days NA NA arge Follow-L NA	0.28 226 47 Jp 677	0.07 213 50 758	0.17 243 37 1,245	0.10 330 42 1,496	0.08 519 48 Data is reported 1
Average Number of Days to Review Field Care Coordination Total Referrals to FCCs Average Number of Days Case Open to FCC Discharge Coordination: Post Disch Number of Inpatient Discharges Percent of Members with Follow-Up Appointment or Authorization within 7 Days after discharge	≤5 business days NA NA arge Follow-L NA	0.28 226 47 Jp	0.07 213 50	0.17 243 37	0.10 330 42	0.08 519 48 Data is reported 1 quarter in
Average Number of Days to Review Field Care Coordination Total Referrals to FCCs Average Number of Days Case Open to FCC Discharge Coordination: Post Disch Number of Inpatient Discharges Percent of Members with Follow-Up Appointment or Authorization within 7 Days after discharge Percent of Members with Follow-Up	≤5 business days NA NA arge Follow-L NA	0.28 226 47 Jp 677	0.07 213 50 758	0.17 243 37 1,245	0.10 330 42 1,496	0.08 519 48 Data is reported 1
Average Number of Days to Review Field Care Coordination Total Referrals to FCCs Average Number of Days Case Open to FCC Discharge Coordination: Post Disch Number of Inpatient Discharges Percent of Members with Follow-Up Appointment or Authorization within 7 Days after discharge Percent of Members with Follow-Up Appointment or Authorization within	≤5 business days NA NA arge Follow-L NA NA	0.28 226 47 Jp 677 46.7%	0.07 213 50 758 41.2%	0.17 243 37 1,245 43.0%	0.10 330 42 1,496 41.3%	0.08 519 48 Data is reported 1 quarter in
Average Number of Days to Review Field Care Coordination Total Referrals to FCCs Average Number of Days Case Open to FCC Discharge Coordination: Post Disch Number of Inpatient Discharges Percent of Members with Follow-Up Appointment or Authorization within 7 Days after discharge Percent of Members with Follow-Up Appointment or Authorization within 30 Days after discharge	≤5 business days NA NA arge Follow-L NA	0.28 226 47 Jp 677	0.07 213 50 758	0.17 243 37 1,245	0.10 330 42 1,496	0.08 519 48 Data is reported 1 quarter in
Average Number of Days to Review Field Care Coordination Total Referrals to FCCs Average Number of Days Case Open to FCC Discharge Coordination: Post Disch Number of Inpatient Discharges Percent of Members with Follow-Up Appointment or Authorization within 7 Days after discharge Percent of Members with Follow-Up Appointment or Authorization within 30 Days after discharge Readmissions	≤5 business days NA NA NA NA NA NA	0.28 226 47 Jp 677 46.7% 66.2%	0.07 213 50 758 41.2% 63.10%	0.17 243 37 1,245 43.0% 64.2%	0.10 330 42 1,496 41.3% 61.0%	0.08 519 48 Data is reported 1 quarter in
Average Number of Days to Review Field Care Coordination Total Referrals to FCCs Average Number of Days Case Open to FCC Discharge Coordination: Post Disch Number of Inpatient Discharges Percent of Members with Follow-Up Appointment or Authorization within 7 Days after discharge Percent of Members with Follow-Up Appointment or Authorization within 30 Days after discharge Readmissions	≤5 business days NA NA arge Follow-L NA NA	0.28 226 47 Jp 677 46.7%	0.07 213 50 758 41.2%	0.17 243 37 1,245 43.0%	0.10 330 42 1,496 41.3%	0.08 519 48 Data is reported 1 quarter in
Average Number of Days to Review Field Care Coordination Total Referrals to FCCs Average Number of Days Case Open to FCC Discharge Coordination: Post Disch Number of Inpatient Discharges Percent of Members with Follow-Up Appointment or Authorization within 7 Days after discharge Percent of Members with Follow-Up Appointment or Authorization within 30 Days after discharge Readmissions	≤5 business days NA NA NA NA NA NA	0.28 226 47 Jp 677 46.7% 66.2%	0.07 213 50 758 41.2% 63.10%	0.17 243 37 1,245 43.0% 64.2%	0.10 330 42 1,496 41.3% 61.0%	0.08 519 48 Data is reported 1 quarter in arrears
Average Number of Days to Review Field Care Coordination Total Referrals to FCCs Average Number of Days Case Open	≤5 business days NA NA NA NA NA NA	0.28 226 47 Jp 677 46.7% 66.2%	0.07 213 50 758 41.2% 63.10%	0.17 243 37 1,245 43.0% 64.2%	0.10 330 42 1,496 41.3% 61.0%	0.08 519 48 Data is reported 1 quarter in arrears

Measure	Goal	July - September 2019	October - December 2019	January - March 2020	April - June 2020	July - September 2020
Inter-Rater Reliability		T				
Inter-Rater Reliability	NA		F	Reported Annually	/	
Peer-Review Audits						
MD Peer Review Audit Results	≥ 88.0%	98%	97%	100%	100%	Data is reported 1 quarter in arrears
Claims						
Claims Paid within 30 Calendar Days	≥90%	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%	99.7%	99.7%
Claims Paid within 90 Calendar Days	≥99%	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%	99.8%	99.8%
Dollar Accuracy	≥99%	100.0%	100.0%	98.4%	99.0%	99.1%
Procedural Accuracy	≥97%	99.0%	100.0%	99.3%	99.0%	99.5%

*performance is viewed as meeting the goal due to established rounding methodology (rounding to the nearest whole number)

**ABD was routed to incorrect LINX worklist which contributed to 1 ABD written notification falling out of compliance.

	within 5% of	did not meet
met goal	goal	goal

Progress in Areas Not Meeting Performance During the Previous Quarter - Q2, 2020

During Q2, 2020, there was 1 performance measures that fell below the performance goal:

Adverse Benefit Determination (ABD) Written Notification Turn Around Time: The Quality Operations team was notified that an ABD had been routed to the wrong LINX worklist and therefore, the written notification timeframe was missed. On the same day the team was notified, a call was made to the provider informing provider of right to appeal the ABD decision. A notification letter was sent, as well. The team also provided education to staff ensuring ABDs are routed to the correct worklist moving forward. Turnaround time was met during Q3.

Identification of Areas Not Meeting Performance During the Current Quarter - Q3, 2020

During Q3, 2020, there was 1 performance measure that did not meet the goal:

 Percent of Member Calls Answered within 30 Seconds (goal ≥80.0%): During Q3, this measure was 77%. Member calls are answered by the vendor, ProtoCall. They have indicated that they have received and higher than expected call volume and higher acuity and distress levels of calls related to response from COVID-19. While this is not a contractual performance measure, Optum Idaho monitors it as an internal performance measure.


Member Satisfaction Survey Results

Methodology: Optum Idaho surveys IBHP adults 18 years of age and older and parents of children aged 11 years and younger. The survey is administered through a live telephone interview. Translation services are available to members upon request. Due to various Privacy Regulations, members between the ages of 12 and 17 are not surveyed.

To be eligible for the survey, the member must have received services during the 90 days prior to the survey and have a valid telephone number on record. A random sample of individuals eligible for the survey is selected and called until the desired quota was met, or the sample was exhausted. Members who have accessed services in multiple quarters are eligible for the survey only once every six months. The surveys are conducted over a 3-month period after the quarter the services were rendered.


Analysis: Surveys were conducted on members who received services during Q1, 2020 and surveyed during Q2, 2020. The total number of members who responded to the survey was 22 with a response rate of 5%. During Q2, Optum Idaho met the goal of ≥85% in all measures as indicated below:

Performance Metric	Q1 2018	Q2 2018	Q3 2018	Q4 2018	Q1 2019	Q2 2019	Q3 2019	Q4 2019	Q1 2020	Q2 2020
Optum Support for Obtaining Referrals or Authorizations	72%	83%	100%	93%	92%	N/A	91%	99%	90%	91%
Counseling and Treatment	96%	91%	100%	93%	97%	N/A	93%	97%	95%	98%
Accessibility, Availability, and Acceptability of the Clinician Network	89%	89%	99%	90%	92%	N/A	94%	90%	90%	93%
Overall Satisfaction	78%	78%	100%	91%	98%	N/A	90%	96%	85%	95%



*During Q2, 2019, a technical issue caused an insufficient amount of completed surveys, therefore no results to report for Q2, 2019. The desired quota for Q3, 2019, was increased to account for a lack of Q2 results.

In addition, the Member Satisfaction Survey includes specific questions related to the member's experiences with counseling and treatment. The results are in the graph, "Member Experience with Counseling or Treatment," below. The goal of \geq 85% was met again in all domains.



*During Q2, 2019, a technical issue caused an insufficient amount of completed surveys, therefore no results to report for Q2, 2019. The desired quota for Q3, 2019, was increased to account for a lack of Q2 results.

Barriers: No identified barriers.


Opportunities and Interventions: No opportunities for improvement were identified.

Provider Satisfaction Survey Results

The goal of the research design of the Provider Satisfaction Survey is to provide representative and reliable measurement of providers' experiences with, attitudes toward, and suggestions for Optum Idaho.

Methodology: Optum Idaho's Provider Satisfaction Survey is designed to connect with all Optum Idaho network providers to give them an opportunity to participate in the research. There are 3 modes for providers to complete the survey: Outbound Telephone Call from Fact Finders, Inbound Telephone from Provider to Fact Finders, Online Survey.

Analysis: Overall provider satisfaction for 2019 was 76%. Other domains included in the survey were: overall satisfaction with Optum staff (79% responded as satisfied or very satisfied), overall satisfaction with the Optum process for authorizing care (64% responded as satisfied or very satisfied), and overall satisfaction with claims processing and customer services (83% reported as satisfied or very satisfied, up from 78% in 2018).




Barriers: While the annual survey results fell below ≥85.0%, Optum Idaho continues to monitor and identify trends and implemented interventions.

Opportunities and Interventions: Updates on action plans during Q3 include:

- Create trainings/webinars on specific issues identified with survey.
 - → *Progress:* Optum conducted numerous trainings and webinars during Q3 including: Youth Support Community of Practice, Youth Support Live Webinar, Person-Centered Thinking Training, Therapeutic After School and Summer Program, Mental Health in Schools, Nonviolent Crisis Intervention, Telehealth e-learning, Relias Spotlight Series, and Optum Idaho Original elearning courses
- Continue process for seeking provider input on initiatives—pilot as appropriate.
 - → *Progress:* COVID-19 responses:

- PAC Committee meetings-first agenda item is round robin discussing impact of COVID on practices
- Crisis Center Monthly Conference Call-first agenda item is round robin discussing impact of COVID
- → August PAC committee meeting discussion seeking input from the PAC members on the following topics:
 - CADC's without a bachelor's degree providing services
 - Drug Testing revisions to allowed services
 - Opioid Treatment Program implementation
- → Sept PAC committee meeting discussion seeking input from PAC members on the following topics:
 - Youth Support Trainings and revisions
 - CANS
 - Optum Idaho 2021 Conference
- Increase provider visits and meetings with providers and provider associations.
 - → *Progress:* Visits are being made with participating network providers and non-participating network providers. During Q3, 186 visits were made to participating network providers and 30 to non-participating network providers.
 - → *Progress:* The Provider Relations Advocates attend the monthly Regional Behavioral Health Board meetings to collaborate
- Educate providers on the use of the Net Promotor Score.
 - → *Progress:* The Customer Service team conducted a NPS campaign from Monday 9/14 to Friday 9/25 to educate providers on the background of NPS
- Collaborate with Optum Customer Service on surveys conducted during provider calls.
 - → *Progress:* Scheduled monthly meeting with the Customer Service Manager and Deputy Director. Ongoing topics discussed include:
 - Results of the NPS surveys completed the previous month
 - Results of the Customer Service tracking sheets from the previous month
 - NPS Campaign
- Trend Customer Service calls to identify quality improvement opportunities.
 - → *Progress:* Scheduled monthly meeting with the Customer Service Manager and Deputy Director. Ongoing topics discussed include:
 - Results of the Customer Service tracking sheets from the previous months



- Trend provider requests and inquiries to identify process improvement opportunities.
 - → *Progress:* Scheduled monthly meeting with the Customer Service Manager and Deputy Director. Customer Service and Provider Relations analyzes the customer service tracking sheet data to determine if any trends, process improvement opportunities. If yes next steps are identified.
 - Weekly meetings with the Provider Data Management Team scheduled in response to the increase the month of August and September in issues with 'Provider Not Showing as Being In Network in Linx and iSET'
- Quarterly Meet and Greets.
 - → *Progress:* Planning Virtual Meet and Greet on October 27th.
- Quarterly Provider Newsletter.
 - → *Progress:* Winter Provider press was sent January 2020; Spring Provider Press is ready to send once beyond COVID-19; Summer Provider Press distributed on July 31st. Working on the Fall Provider Press for distribution this fall.
- Provider Relations Advocates complete a minimum of 6 provider visits per quarter using the Provider Engagement Checklist to ensure consistency with provider visits throughout the state
 - → *Progress:* During Q3, 186 visits were made to participating network providers and 30 to nonparticipating network providers using the Provider Engagement Checklist.
- Ongoing collaboration with the national claims processing team.
 - → *Progress:* Monthly meetings with the claims department to address claims issues. There was a collaborative meeting to define new service implementations to ensure no claims issues post implementation; new projects will have a claims section to address any questions and ensure all claims areas are addressed prior to implementation.
- Project plan for Phase II of Telemental Health Program which includes identifying resources to provide hands on assistance for providers interested in providing telemental health services (technical and clinical).
 - → *Progress:* The Provider Relations Advocates are working to collect Telehealth attestations from the providers wanting to continue provider telehealth services post the pandemic. We are working with IDHW to define possible expansion of providers allowed to perform telehealth and allowed services post COVID-19.
- Develop resources for members and communities to access telemental health in the community when internet and/or technology isn't available for the member.
 - → *Progress:* Spring Provider Press is all about telemental health and is ready to be distributed post COVID-19. The Optum Idaho Communications team developed a Member resource. Telemental FAQ's are on the website. Provider Express has many resources available. A Provider Alert was distributed on 4/13 detailing training resources.